arXiv:math/0111322v1 [math.DG] 30 Nov 2001

THE DEFINITION OF A DIFFERENTIAL FORM ON A TANGENT STRUCTURE

Carlos A. Torre

Escuela de Matemática, Universidad de Costa Rica,
San José, Costa Rica

ABSTRACT. Three definitions of a differential form on a tangent structure are considered. It is proved that the (covariant) definition given by Souriau (as a collection of forms indexed by the plaques) is equivalent to a smooth section of the corresponding vector bundle if the space does not have transverse points.

1. Introduction.

A diffeology on any set X was defined by Souriau [3,4] as a collection of plaques $p: U \subset \mathbf{R}^n \to X$, for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$ which covers X and is closed under composition with smooth maps $\phi: V \subset \mathbf{R}^m \to \mathbf{R}^n$ where U, V are open sets. In this context differential forms were defined as a collection of forms on open subsets of $\mathbf{R}^n$, indexed by the plaques. They were used to construct a picture of quantization on a class of coadjoint orbits of diffeomorphisms groups [1,2]. A tangent structure was defined in [7] for coadjoint orbits of diffeomorphisms groups, allowing a geometric definition of a differential form as a section of the corresponding vector bundle [5,6], and in this way allowing also the construction of a Poisson structure and precuantization on coadjoint orbits of diffeomorphisms groups. In this paper we consider the relation between the two definitions of a differential form mentioned above, and the more algebraic one as a multilinear alternating map on the $C^\infty(X)$ module of vector fields on X.

In section 2 we review four definitions of a differential form on a finite dimensional manifold and recall the proof about the equivalence between them. In section 3 we recall the definition of a tangent structure and three definitions of a differential form on it: the global definition given algebraically, the local definition, as a collection of forms indexed by plaques, as defined by Souriau, and as a smooth section on the vector bundle of exterior forms. It is proved that the last two are equivalent if the space does not have transverse points.

2. A review of differential forms on manifolds.

An *exterior k-form* on a vector space V is an alternating k-linear map

$$\omega: V^k \to \mathbf{R}$$

The set of them is denoted $\Lambda^k V^*$, forming a vector space with the ordinary scalar multiplication and sum of functions and the set $\Lambda^{\cdot} V^* := \cup_{k\in\mathbf{N}} \Lambda^k V^*$ is an associative algebra with the *wedge product*:

$$\omega^k \wedge \omega^l(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_{k+l}) := \sum (-1)^\sigma \omega^k(\xi_{i_1}, \cdots, \xi_{i_k}) \omega^l(\xi_{j_1}, \cdots, \xi_{j_l})$$

where $\omega^i \in \Lambda^i V^*$ for $i = k, l$ and the sum is over all permutations $(i_1, \cdots, i_k, j_1, \cdots, j_l)$ of $(1, \cdots, k+l)$ and σ is the order of the permutation. This operation is skew commutative:

$$\omega^k \wedge \omega^l = (-1)^{kl} \omega^l \wedge \omega^k$$

In particular if $k = l = 1$ then

$$\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2(\xi, \eta) = \omega_1(\xi)\omega_2(\eta) - \omega_2(\xi)\omega_1(\eta)$$

and more generally

$$\omega_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega_k(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_k) = det(\omega_j(\xi_i))$$

In $V = \mathbf{R}^n$ every exterior 1-form ω is a scalar multiple of a projection along some vector $y \in V$: $\omega(\xi) = (\xi, y)$. In particular the projection along the i-th coordinate vector is denoted x_i. More generally, if $P\colon V \to F$ is a projection along a k-dimensional subspace F with ortonormal base $\{\beta_1, \cdots \beta_k\}$, then the map

$$\omega_P^k(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_k) = det(a_{ij})$$

where $P(\xi_i) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij}\beta_j$ defines a k-form on V. This is the oriented k-volume of the projection along F of the parallelepiped generated by $\xi_1, \cdots \xi_k$. Conversely, using linearity it is found that every exterior k-form is a linear combination of forms ω_P^k where P runs over all projections along the k-dimensional coordinate subspaces and therefore the set

$$\{x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_k} / \{i_1, \cdots i_k\} \subset \{1, \cdots n\}\}$$

is a base of $\Lambda^k V^*$. In particular if $k = n$, every exterior n-form is a scalar multiple of

$$\nu(\xi_1, \cdots \xi_n) = det(\xi_{ij})$$

where $\xi_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_{ij} e_j$ and $\{e_1, \cdots e_n\}$ is the standard base. This is the oriented volume of the parallelepiped with edges $\xi_1, \cdots \xi_n$. Every k-form with $k > n$ is zero.

If ω_1, ω_2 are projections along v_1, v_2 then $\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2(\xi_1, \xi_2)$ is the oriented area of the projection of the parallelepiped generated by ξ_1, ξ_2 over the plane generated by v_1, v_2.

The *pullback* of $\omega \in \Lambda^k V^*$ by a linear map $L\colon W \to V$ is the exterior k-form $L^*\omega \in \Lambda^k W^*$ defined by

$$L^*\omega(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_k) = \omega(L\xi_1, \cdots L\xi_k)$$

The pullback defines an algebra homomorphism and preserves composition of linear maps (in the appropiate order).

A *differential k-form* on an open set $U \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ is an alternating $C^\infty(U)$ k-linear map

$$\omega\colon [\Gamma(U)]^k \to C^\infty(U)$$

where $\Gamma(U)$ denotes the $C^\infty(U)$ -module of smooth vector fields on U. Therefore, each $x \in U$ defines $\omega_x \in \Lambda^k V^*$ by

$$\omega_x(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_k) := \omega(\bar{\xi_1}, \cdots, \bar{\xi_k})(x)$$

where $\bar{\xi_1}, \cdots \bar{\xi_k}$ are smooth vector fields such that $\bar{\xi_i}(x) = \xi_i$ (they exist: $\bar{\xi_i}(x) = \sum \xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$).

ω_x is well defined: if $\xi(x_0) = 0$, then using the $C^\infty(U)$-linearity and the expression $\xi = \sum_j b_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$, with $b_j \in C^\infty(U)$ and $b_j(x_0) = 0$ it follows that $\omega(\xi)(x_0) = 0$.

Conversely, by evaluation on the vector fields $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$, it follows that an exterior k-form

$$\omega_x = \sum_{|I|=k} a_{i_1 \cdots i_k}(x) x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots x_{i_k}$$

for each $x \in U$ defines a differential k-form on U iff each $a_{i_1 \cdots i_k} \in C^\infty(U)$ In particular, the k-forms defined by $x_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_k}$ for each $x \in U$ is denoted $dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{i_k}$, since dx_idenoted the 1-form dual of the vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ and dx_i is also the differential of the projection along the i-axis (denoted x_i previously). Therefore, we have two equivalent definitions of a k-form on U.

If $f: V \subset \mathbf{R}^m \to U$ is smooth, the *pullback* of a k-form ω on U is defined using the differential of f:

$$f^*\omega(\xi_1, \cdots \xi_k)(x) = \omega_{f(x)}(df(\xi_1)(x), \cdots, df(\xi_k)(x))$$

Definition 2.1. A k-form on a smooth manifold M given by an atlas $\mathcal{A} = \{(\alpha_i, U_i)/i \in I\}$ is defined in four different ways (al least):

1. It is an exterior k-form ω_x on T_xM for each $x \in M$ such that if $\xi_i \in \Gamma(M)$ for $i = 1, \cdots k$, then

$$\omega(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_k)(x) := \omega_x(\xi_1(x), \cdots, \xi_k(x))$$

is smooth.

2. It is a $C^\infty(M)$ k-linear alternating map

$$\omega: [\Gamma(M)]^k \to C^\infty(M)$$

3. It is a collection $(\omega_i)_{i \in I}$ of k-forms, where ω_i is a k-form on $U_i \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ such that

$$\omega_j = (\alpha_i^{-1} \circ \alpha_j)^* \omega_i$$

for each $i, j \in I$.

4. It is a smooth section on the vector bundle of exterior k-forms on M.

The four definitions are equivalent: $1 \Rightarrow 2$ follows from evaluation at a point. $2 \Rightarrow 1$ follows as follows: from a vector $\xi_i = \sum \xi_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \in T_{x_0}M$ construct a vector field $\bar{\xi}_i(x) = \sum \xi_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ in a neighborhood of x_0 and extend globally through multiplication by a smooth function g such that $x_0 \in V < g < U$ (that is: *Suppg* $\subset U$, $g = 0$ on V and V is a neighborhood of x_0 such that $\bar{V} \subset U$) and defining $\bar{\xi}_i(x) = 0$ outside U. Then ω_x is defined using the vector fields $\bar{\xi}_i$. In order to prove that the definiton is independent of this particular choice of $\bar{\xi}_i$, assume that $\xi(x_0) = 0$, take U, g as before and a local expression $\xi(x) = \sum b_j(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ on U. Then

$$\omega(\xi)(x_0) = g(x_0) \sum b_j(x_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = 0$$

$1 \Rightarrow 3$ is obtained as follows: define $\omega_i = \alpha_i^* \omega$, use $\alpha_j = \alpha_i \circ (\alpha_i^{-1} \circ \alpha_j)$ and the fact that the pullback preserves composition and $d\alpha_i$ preserves smoothness of vector fields. In order to prove $3 \Rightarrow 1$, for each $x \in M$ take $i \in I$ such $x \in U_i$ and define $\omega_x = (\alpha_i^{-1})^* \omega_i(x)$ which does not depend on the chart chosen. Finally $1 \iff 4$: the vector bundle is given by $\wedge^k T^*M \to M$ where

$$\wedge^k T^*M = \{(x, \xi)/x \in M, \xi \in \wedge^k T_x^*M\}$$

$\pi(x, \xi) = x$ and with the atlas

$$\{(\pi^{-1}(U_1), (\alpha_i \times id) \circ \phi_i)/i \in I\}$$

where $\phi_i: \pi^{-1}(U_i) \to U_i \times \mathbf{R}^k$ is given by $\phi_i(x, \xi) := (x, \xi_{i_1 \cdots i_k}(x))$ such that $\xi = \sum \xi_{i_1 \cdots i_k} dx_{i_1} \wedge \cdots dx_{i_k}$. Now, given ω under definition 1, define $\bar{\omega}$ under definition 4 (and viseversa) by

$$\bar{\omega}(x)(\xi_1(x), \cdots \xi_k(x) = (x, \omega_x(\xi_1(x), \cdots, \xi_k(x))$$

The smoothness of $\bar{\omega}$ and ω are equivalent using the definition of smoothness with the local expression of these forms. This proves the equivalence of the four definitions.

The space of k-forms on M is denoted $\epsilon^k(M)$. It is a $C^\infty(M)$-module and $\epsilon^{\cdot}(M) := \cup_{k\geq 0}\epsilon^k(M)$ is an associative algebra with the wedge product, where $\epsilon^0(M)$ is defined as $C^\infty(M)$.

For example, if $f\colon M \to \mathbf{R}$ is a smooth function, then df defined by $df_x(\xi_x) = \frac{d}{dt}|_0 d(\alpha(t))$ where $\alpha'(0) = \xi_x$ defines a 1-form. For every 1-form ω on a Riemannian manifold M there exists a smooth vector field η such that $\omega(\xi)(x) = (\xi_x, \eta_x)$. In particular, if $\omega = df$ then η is called the gradient of f.

3. Tangent structures.

An *n-plaque* on a set X is a map $p\colon U \subset \mathbf{R}^n \to X$ with U open. A *diffeology on* X is a collection $P(X)$ of n-plaques for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ which covers X and such that if $p \in P(X)$ and $\phi\colon U \subset \mathbf{R}^m \to \mathbf{R}^n$ is smooth, then $p \circ \phi \in P(X)$. A *tangent structure* on $(X, P(X))$ is a collection of equivalence relations $\sim^n_F$ on the set of n-plaques at $F \in X$ (that is, $p \in P(X), p(0) = F$) denoted $P^n_F(X)$, for each $n \in \mathbf{N}, F \in X$, satisfying the following two consistency conditions:

1. If $p_1 \sim^n_F p_2$ and $\phi\colon U \subset \mathbf{R}^m \to \mathbf{R}$, then $p_1 \circ \phi \sim^m_F p_2 \circ \phi$.
2. If $p\colon U \to X$ is a plaque and $V \subset U$ is an open neighborhood of 0, then $p \sim p|_V$.

The class of p is denoted $[p]$ or $[p(t)]_t$. A diffeological space with a tangent structure is called a *TDS*. The tangent structure is called *linear* if $P^n_F(X)/\sim^n_F$ carries a vector space structure for each $F \in X, n \in \mathbf{N}$ (this set is called the *n-th tangent space at* F and is denoted $T^n_F X$) satisfying

a. If $p_{12} \in [p_1] + [p_2]$ and $\phi\colon U \subset \mathbf{R}^m \to \mathbf{R}^n$ is smooth, then

$$p_{12} \circ \phi \in [p_1 \circ \phi] + [p_2 \circ \phi]$$

b. If $p \in c[p_1] \Rightarrow p \circ \phi \in c[p_1 \circ \phi]$.

The tangent structure is called *continuous* if given two (n+m)-plaques $p_i(r,s), i = 1,2$ such that $p_1(r,0) = p_2(r,0)$ for each r, there exists a (n+m)-plaque $p_{12}(r,s)$ such that

$$[p_{12}(r,s)]_s = [p_1(r,s)]_s + [p_2(r,s)]_s \quad \forall r$$

If $(X, P(X), \sim), (Y, P(Y), \equiv)$ are two *TDS*, a map $f\colon X \to Y$ is called *smooth* if it preserves plaques, directions, and the vector space structure, that is:

1. $p \in P(X) \Rightarrow f \circ p \in P(Y)$
2. $p_1 \sim^n_F p_2 \Rightarrow f \circ p_1 \equiv_{f(F)} f \circ p_2$
3. $d^k f\colon T^k X \to T^k Y$ given by $[p] \to [f \circ p]$ is linear if the tangent structure is linear.

The set of smooth maps is denoted $C^\infty(X, Y)$ and the set of maps satisfying only 1. is denoted $c^\infty(X, Y)$.

Any manifold M has a *standard TDS* for which $P(M)$ is formed by the smooth maps $p\colon U \subset \mathbf{R}^n \to M$ (which contains the atlas) and

$$p_1 \sim^n_F p_2 \iff D^i p_1|_0 = D^i p_2|_0 \ \ \forall i = 0, 1, \cdots, n.$$

The tangent spaces and the smooth maps with this *TDS* coincide with those given by the manifold. In particular the standard manifold structure on $\mathbf{R}^n$ provides a standard *TDS*. Using this, any subalgebra $\mathcal{F}$ of $c^\infty(X)$ on any diffeology $(X, P(X))$ allows the construction of a tangent structure on $(X, P(X))$, called the *standard TDS defined by* $\mathcal{F}$:

$$p_1 \sim^n_F p_2 \iff D^i f \circ p_1|_0 = D^i f \circ p_2|_0 \ \ \forall f \in \mathcal{F}$$

in which we are using the standard *TDS* on $\mathbf{R}$.

The tangent spaces defines the *tangent bundles* $T^n X$. A *vector field* is a section of this bundle such that $\forall f \in C^\infty(X, \mathbf{R})$ the map $df(\xi)\colon X \to \mathbf{R}$ given by $F \to df(\xi(F))$ is smooth. The set of smooth vector fields is denoted $\Gamma(X)$. In every linear *TDS* the set $\Gamma(X)$ is a $C^\infty(X)$ module.

A vector field is called *locally integrable* if for every n-plaque $p: U \subset \mathbf{R}^n \to X$ and every $r_0 \in U$ there exists a neighborhood V of r_0 and a (n+1)-plaque q such that $q(r,0) = p(r)$ and

$$\xi(p(r)) = [q(r,t)]_t \quad \forall r \in V_0$$

Notice that every smooth vector field on a manifold is locally integrable. If the tangent structure is linear and continuous, the set of locally integrable vector fields is a $C^\infty(X)$ module, denoted $\Gamma_I(X)$.

A point $F \in X$ is called *weakly (strongly resp.) transverse of degree* (n,m) if there exists $p_1: U_1 \subset \mathbf{R}^n \to X$, $p_2: U_2 \subset \mathbf{R}^m \to X$ at F such that there does not exist $V_1 \subset U_1, V_2 \subset U_2$ open at F and a (n+m)-plaque $q: V_1 \times V_2 \to X$ satisfying $q(r,0) = p_1(r), \forall r$ and $q(0,s) = p_2(s), \forall s$ (or $[q(r,0)]_r = [p_1(r)]_r, [q(0,s)]_s$ respectively)

Examples 3.1.

[1] Manifolds modelled on a locally convex vector space E do not have transverse points: if $\{(\alpha_i, U_i)/i \in I\}$ is an atlas of M and p_1, p_2 are n, m-plaques respectively, at $F \in M$ then $p_i = \alpha_i \circ \phi_i, i = 1,2$ where ϕ_i is smooth. We may assume that $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, $\phi_1: V_1 \subset \mathbf{R}^n \to U \subset E$, $\phi_2: V_2 \subset \mathbf{R}^m \to U$. Consider $V_i' \subset V_i$ such that

$$\phi_1(V_1') + \phi_2(V_2') \subset U$$

Let $\phi: V_1' \times V_2' \to U$ defined by $\phi(u,v) = \phi_1(u) + \phi_2(v)$, then $q = \alpha_1 \circ \phi$ satisfies the required condition.

[2] Let X be the group of diffeomorphisms of a finite dimensional manifold M. Let $P(X)$ be the set of plaques $p: U \subset \mathbf{R}^n \to X$ such that $\bar{p}: U \times M \to M$ given by $\bar{p}(r,m) = p(r)(m)$ is smooth and consider the standard tangent structure defined by $c^\infty(X)$. Then X does not have transverse points: take $q(r,s) = F \circ p_1(r) p_2(s) \circ F^{-1}$.

[3] Let $X = \mathbf{R}^2$, let $P(X)$ be the set of smooth maps $p: U \subset \mathbf{R}^n \to X$ whose image is a line (under the standard TDS), then $c^\infty(X)$ consists of maps which are smooth along lines. With the standard TDS the tangent structure is linear and continuous, each $T_F X$ is $\mathbf{R}^2$. The only locally integrable vector field is the zero vector field. Notice that every point is strongly transverse of degree $(1,1)$. More generally we consider a manifold M (or a collection of manifolds $(M_i)_{i \in I}$) and a set of smooth maps $p: U \subset \mathbf{R}^n \to M$, for different $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then consider the diffeology generated by these maps. For example, we take $M = S^2$ and consider the maps whose image is a segment of a parallel (notice that the intersection of 2 plaques is either a point of another plaque). The poles are strongly transverse points of degree $(1,1)$. A vector field is locally integrable $\iff$ it is zero at poles. However, the union of two tangent surfaces (in this context) at only one point F do not have transverse points and every vector field is locally integrable.

Notice that every strongly transverse point is weakly transverse. Every smooth vector field is zero at a strongly transverse point.

Definition 3.1.

Given a linear TDS $(X, P(X), \sim)$, a k-form on X is defined in one of the following ways:

1. It is an exterior k-form $\omega_F, \forall F \in X$ such that if $\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_k$ are vector fields then

$$\omega(\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_k)(F) := \omega_F(\xi_1(F), \cdots, \xi_k(F))$$

is a smooth map.

2. It is a $C^\infty(X, \mathbf{R})$ alternating k-linear map

$$\omega: (\Gamma(X))^k \to C^\infty(X, \mathbf{R})$$

3. It is a collection $(\omega_p)_{p \in P(X)}$ where ω_p is a k-form on $U \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ if $p: U \to X$ such that

a. $\omega_{p\circ\phi} = \phi^*\omega_p, \forall\phi\colon U' \subset \mathbf{R}^m \to \mathbf{R}^n$ smooth.

b. If p_1, p_2 are two plaques satisfying $p_1(r_1) = p_2(r_2) = F$ which are tangent at F along directions $v_1, \cdots, v_k \in \mathbf{R}^n$ (this means that $[p_1(tv_i + r_1)]_t = [p_2(tv_i + r_2)]_t$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, k$) then

$$\omega_{p_1(r_1)}(v_1, \cdots, v_k) = \omega_{p_2(r_2)}(v_1, \cdots, v_k)$$

and

$$d\omega_{p_1(r_1)}(v_1, \cdots, v_{k+1}) = d\omega_{p_2(r_2)}(v_1, \cdots, v_{k+1})$$

for all $v_{k+1} \in \mathbf{R}^n$. This is called the *tangent condition* for further reference.

The set of k-forms under definition i is denoted $\epsilon_i^k(X)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Each of them is a $C^\infty(X)$ module and $\epsilon_i^{\cdot}(X)$, is also an associative algebra with the wedge product defined as usual under each of the three definitions.

The pullback of $\omega \in \epsilon_1^k(X_2)$ under a smooth map $h\colon X_1 \to X_2$ is defined by

$$(h^*\omega)_F(\eta_1(F), \cdots, \eta_k(F)) = \omega_{\eta(F)}(dh(F)\eta_1(F), \cdots, dh(F)\eta_k(F))$$

If $\omega \in \epsilon_3^k(X_2)$ then define

$$(h^*\omega) = (\omega_{p\circ h})_{p\in P(X_1)}$$

This collection satisfies the tangent condition. If $\omega \in \epsilon_2^k(X_2)$ we need $dh(F)$ to be surjective $\forall F \in X$ and define

$$h^*\omega(\eta_1, \cdots, \eta_k) = \omega(dh(\eta_1), \cdots, dh(\eta_k)) \circ h$$

Proposition 3.1.

If $(X, P(X), \sim)$ does not have transverse points then $\epsilon_1^k(X) = \epsilon_3^k(X)$ for any $k \in \mathbf{N}$

Proof: First we define a map $\Psi\colon \epsilon_1^k(X) \to \epsilon_3^k(X)$ by

$$\Psi(\omega) = (p^*\omega)_{p\in P(X)}$$

This map is linear and $\Psi(f\omega) = f\Psi(\omega)$ if $f \in C^\infty(X)$ We prove that Ψ is 1-1: Assume that $\Psi(\omega) = 0$ and that $\omega_F \neq 0$ for some $F \in X$, then $\exists v_1, \cdots, v_k \in \underline{T_F X}$ such that $\omega_F(v_1, \cdots, v_k) \neq 0$. Let $p_1, \cdots p_k$ be 1-plaques such that $[p_i] = v_i$. Since F is not strongly transverse, and using induction, there exists a neighborhood I of 0 in $\mathbf{R}$ and an n-plaque $p\colon I^k \to X$ such that $[p(te_i)] = [p_i(t)]$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{p(0)}(e_1, \cdots e_k) &= \omega_{p(0)}([p(te_1)], \cdots, [p(te_k)]) \\ &= \omega_{p(0)}([p_1(t)], \cdots, [p_k(t)]) \\ &= \omega_F(v_1, \cdots, v_k) \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore ω is 1-1. Now we prove that Ψ is onto: Let $(\omega_p)_{p\in P(X)} \in \epsilon_3^k(X)$, define $\underline{\omega}$ as follows: let $F \in X$ and let $v_1, \cdots, v_k \in \underline{T_F X}$, let $p \in P(X)$ such that $[p(te_i)] = v_i$ (exists because F is not strongly transverse), define

$$\underline{\omega}_F(v_1, \cdots, v_k) = (\omega_p)(0)(e_1, \cdots, e_k)$$

This value is independent of p because if p' is another plaque as before then

$$(\omega_p)(0)(e_1, \cdots, e_k) = (\omega_{p'}(0)(e_1, \cdots e_k)$$

because of the tangent condition. Next we prove that $\underline{\omega}$ is smooth: Let $\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_k \in \Gamma_I(X)$ and let $p\colon U \subset \mathbf{R}^n \to X$ be a plaque. We shall prove that

$$\underline{\omega}(p(r)(\xi_1(p(r), \cdots \xi_k(p(r))\colon U \to \mathbf{R}$$

is smooth. Let $q_1, \cdots, q_n$ be $(n+1)$-plaques such that $[q_i(r,t)]_t = \xi_i(p(r)) \forall r$. Let $q\colon V \subset \mathbf{R}^{n+k} \to X$ be a plaque as in the definition of transverse point such that

$$p(r, 0, \cdots, t_i, 0, \cdots, 0) = q_i(r, t_i$$

then $q(r, 0, \cdots, 0) = p(r), \forall r$ and

$$[q(r, 0, \cdots, 0, t_i, 0, \cdots, 0)]_{t_i} = [q_i(r, t_i)]_{t_i}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\underline{\omega}_{p(r)}(\xi_1(p(r)), \cdots, \xi_k(p(r)) \\ &= \underline{\omega}_{q(r,0)}([q_1(r,t)]_t, \cdots, [q_k(r,t)]_t) \\ &= (\omega_q)(r,0)([(r, t_1, 0, \cdots, 0)]_{t_1}, [(r, 0, t_2, \cdots, 0)]_{t_2}, \cdots, [(r, \cdots, t_k)]_{t_k} \\ &= (\omega_q)(r,0)(v_1(r), \cdots, v_k(r)) \end{aligned}$$

and $v_i(r) = [(r, 0, \cdots, 0, t_i, 0, \cdots, 0)]_{t_i}$ is a smooth vector field on $U \subset \bar{U}$, therefore $\underline{\omega}$ is smooth. Notice that if $p\colon U \subset \mathbf{R}^n \to X$ is a plaque then

$$\begin{aligned} (p^*\underline{\omega)}(v_1, \cdots, v_k) &= \underline{\omega}_{p(r)}([p(tv_1)], \cdots, [p(tv_k)]) \\ &= (\omega_p)(r)(v_1, \cdots, v_k) \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the definition that Ψ is onto since $\Psi(\underline{\omega}) = \omega$ and this proves the proposition.

Remarks 3.1.

1. The theorem remains still remains valid under a weaker condition than non transversality: for every pair of $(n+1)$-plaques $p_1, p_2\colon U \times V \subset \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R} \to X$ such that $p_1(r, 0) = p_2(r, 0), \forall r \in U$ there exists a $(n+2)$-plaque

   $$q\colon U' \times V' \times \times V'' \subset \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \to X$$

   such that

   $$\begin{aligned} [q(r, t_1, 0)]_{t_1} &= [p_1(r, t_1)]_{t_1} \quad \forall r \in U' \\ [q(r, 0, t_2)]_{t_2} &= [p_2(r, t_2)]_{t_2} \quad \forall r \in U' \end{aligned}$$

2. For any X we have $\epsilon_1^k(X) \subset \epsilon_2^k(X)$.
3. In general $\epsilon_2^k(X)$ is larger than $\epsilon_1^k(X)$: consider the example

   $$X = \{(x, 0)/x \in \mathbf{R}\} \cup \{(0, y)/y \in \mathbf{R}\}$$

   where $P(X)$ is the set of smooth maps $p\colon U \subset \mathbf{R}^n \to X$ whose image is a subset of the x-axis only, or a subset of the y-axis only. Then $c^\infty(X)$ is the set of pairs (f_1, f_2) such that $f_1, f_2\colon \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ is smooth and $f_1(0) = f_2(0)$. With the standard diffeology $T_{(0,0)}X = \mathbf{R} \sqcup \mathbf{R}$ and $\underline{T_{(0,0)}}X = \{0\}$, otherwise, $T_F X = \mathbf{R} = \underline{T_F}(X)$. The point $(0, 0)$ is transverse and $\Gamma(X)$ is the set of pairs $(f_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, f_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y})$ such that $f_1, f_2\colon \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ is smooth and $f_1(0) = f_2(0) = 0$. Let

   $$\xi_1(x, y) = x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad \xi_2(x, y) = y\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

   Since every smooth function $h\colon \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ such that $h(0) = 0$ satisfies $h(t) = tg(t)$ where g is also smooth then every field is expressed as

   $$\xi(x, , y) = h_1(x)\xi_1(x, y) + h_2(y)\xi_2(x, y)$$

where $h_1, h_2\colon \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ and conversely, define

$$\omega(\xi(x,y)) = h_1(x)(x^2+1) + h_2(y)(2y^2+1)$$

then $\omega \in \epsilon_2(X)$ and satisfies $\omega(\xi_1(0,0) = 1$, however $\xi_1(0,0) = 0$, therefore there is not $\underline{\omega} \in \epsilon_1(X)$ which correspond to ω. Similar constructions may be given with any $k > 1$.

4. A construction similar to the above may be done for any X such that $\Gamma(X)$ is a free module and there exists a base $\{\xi_i / i \in I\}$ such that at some point F the set of vectors

$$\{\xi_i(F)/i \in I\} \subset T_F X$$

is linearly dependent (this occurs if $dim T_F X \neq Card I$ for some F). This occurs if $\Gamma(X)$ is free and X has transverse points.

5. $\epsilon_1(X) = \epsilon_2(X)$ if $\Gamma(X)$ is a free module and there exists a base $\{\xi_i / i \in I\}$ of $\Gamma(X)$ such that $\{\xi_i(F)/i \in I\}$ is linearly independent $\forall F \in X$ (this occurs for example with $\mathbf{R}^M, M \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ and manifolds with trivial tangent bundles such as S^1), because if $\omega(\xi_i) = h_i$ then

$$\omega(\xi = \sum_i f_i \xi_i) = \sum_i h_i f_i$$

and $\xi(F) = 0$ implies $f_i(F) = 0, \forall i \in I$

References

[1] P. Donato, Géométrie des orbites coadjointes des groupes de diffeomorphismes, in: C. Albert, ed., *Géométrie Symplectique et Mécanique*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1416** (Springer, Berlin, 1988) 84–104.

[2] P. Donato, Les diffeomorphismes du circle comme orbit symplectique dans les moments de Virasoro, Preprint CPT–92/P.2681, CNRS–Luminy, 1992.

[3] J. M. Souriau, Un algoritme générateur de structures quantiques, Astérisque, hors série (1985) 341–399.

[4] J. M. Souriau, Groupes diffrentiels, Lecture notes in math., 838 (1980) 91-128.

[6] C. Torre, A Tangent bundle on diffeological spaces, math/9801046 (1998).

[5] C. Torre, Examples of smooth diffeological spaces, Preprint (1999).

[7] C. Torre and A. Banyaga, A symplectic structure on coadjoint orbits of diffeomorphism subgroups, Ciencia y Tecnologa 17 No 2, (1993) 1–14.